



## Healthy Buildings 2017 Europe July 2-5, 2017, Lublin, Poland

Paper ID 0022 ISBN: 978-83-7947-232-1

### **A review of the effects of exposure to carbon dioxide on human health in indoor environment**

Kenichi AZUMA<sup>1,\*</sup>, U YANAGI<sup>2</sup>, Naoki KAGI<sup>3</sup>, Haruki OSAWA<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kindai University Faculty of Medicine, Osakasayama, Osaka, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Architecture, Kogakuin University, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>3</sup>School of Environment and Society, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Environmental Health, National Institute of Public Health, Wako, Saitama, Japan

\*Corresponding email: [kenazuma@med.kindai.ac.jp](mailto:kenazuma@med.kindai.ac.jp)

#### **SUMMARY**

Here, we review the scientific literature and other documents on pertaining to the effects of inhalation exposure to carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) on human health. Recent studies have reported linear physiological changes in circulatory, cardiovascular, and autonomic systems at CO<sub>2</sub> exposures ranging between 500 and 5,000 ppm; these effects are very evident. Recent experimental studies suggested that CO<sub>2</sub> might affect psychomotor performance including decision making or problem resolution, beginning at 1,000 ppm during short-time exposure, although bioeffluents emitted by human beings might also be associated with such effects. Many epidemiological studies have demonstrated a relationship between low-level exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> and sick building syndrome (SBS) symptoms, although other mixed hazardous chemicals might also involve such effects. Although such uncertainties exist, maintaining a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration below 1,000 ppm in the indoor environment of a building would represent an effective means of preventing effects upon human health and psychomotor performance. Further research on the long-term effects of low-level CO<sub>2</sub> exposure upon the autonomic system is now required.

#### **KEYWORDS**

*carbon dioxide, indoor air, low-level exposure, physiological effect, psychomotor performance*

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Since the 19th Century, the indoor carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentration has been used as an indicator of air quality in buildings. Several countries have established indoor air quality guidelines of 1,000 ppm for CO<sub>2</sub> in non-industrial buildings. CO<sub>2</sub> is naturally present in the atmosphere where the typical outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are approximately 380 ppm, although outdoor levels in urban areas have been reported to be as high as 500 ppm. However, increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration has contributed to the greenhouse effect and has accelerated global warming. The main source of CO<sub>2</sub> in the non-industrial indoor environment is human metabolism, although an increase in the outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration will also contribute to an increase in the indoor concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, the need to reduce energy consumption provides an incentive for low rates of ventilation, leading to higher indoor CO<sub>2</sub>

concentrations. From these insights, the effects of low-level CO<sub>2</sub> exposure upon human health should be re-examined. This presentation reports a review of current literature pertaining to the association of low-level CO<sub>2</sub> exposure in non-industrial buildings with human health and related human responses.

## **MATERIALS and METHODS**

"Carbon dioxide" was used as a search term in major databases, including PubMed, Google Scholar, CiNii, and J-Dream III for the period 1950–2016, and combined with two additional search terms; "health effect" or "sick building." References quoted in the literature and documents obtained from the above searches were then examined.

## **RESULTS**

### **Traditional knowledge and national indoor air quality guideline**

In 1968, the World Health Organization (WHO) reported two criteria relating to the health effects of CO<sub>2</sub> (Goromosov, 1968), which described physiological studies showing that, at concentrations greater than 5,000 ppm, CO<sub>2</sub> raises the respiration rate above the level required for gas exchange, imposing an additional load on the respiratory system. Pettenkofer and Flügge had proposed in 1881 that 700–1,000 ppm should be regarded as the permissible atmospheric concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>. Although the latter criteria had no physiological basis, it proved of considerable practical value as an indirect index of the contamination of air in buildings. However, in 1964, Eliseeva (1964) reported a human experimental study, which showed that inhalation of 1,000 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> for a short time by six healthy individuals aged between 19 and 45 years caused marked changes in amplitude of the respiratory movement, increased peripheral blood flow, and changes in functional state of the cerebral cortex. Eliseeva concluded that the indoor concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> should not be allowed to exceed 1,000 ppm because the presence of a concentration of 1,000 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> in the air has a directly harmful effect. Subsequently, indoor air quality guidelines of 1,000 ppm for CO<sub>2</sub> were established in Japan (large buildings) in 1970, in Canada (office environments) in 1995, in Norway (residential spaces) in 1999, in Singapore (office buildings) in 1999, in China (housing and offices) in 2002, in Korea (large stores and medical facilities) in 2003, in Germany (guidance value to prevent harmful effects) in 2008, and in Taiwan in 2012; these were based on specific assessments in each country (Azuma, 2016a).

### **Biological effects of carbon dioxide**

CO<sub>2</sub> is produced by cellular metabolism and enters the body during respiration when the atmospheric concentration exceeds the alveolar concentration. In the blood, CO<sub>2</sub> is transported in three ways: dissolved in solution; buffered with water as carbonic acid; and bound to proteins, particularly hemoglobin. Lowering the pH releases O<sub>2</sub> from oxyhemoglobin. Raising the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> (pCO<sub>2</sub>) also favors the release of O<sub>2</sub> from oxyhemoglobin (Arthurs, 2005). An increase of the pCO<sub>2</sub> delivered to the lungs, i.e., hypercapnia, induces an increase of pCO<sub>2</sub> in the alveoli (Guais, 2011). Because CO<sub>2</sub> freely diffuses through the alveolar membrane and into the blood, it results in an increase of CO<sub>2</sub> tension in arterial blood (PaCO<sub>2</sub>). In turn, this increase in PaCO<sub>2</sub> results in an acute or chronic respiratory acidosis (lower blood pH), due to a lack of acido-basic balance (Guais, 2011). Acute (or acutely worsening chronic) respiratory acidosis causes headache, confusion, anxiety, drowsiness, and stupor (CO<sub>2</sub> narcosis). Slowly developing, stable respiratory acidosis may result in memory loss, sleep disturbances, excessive daytime sleepiness, and personality changes. Appearance of respiratory acidosis can be defined from exposure to a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 10,000 ppm for at least 30 minutes in a healthy adult with a moderate physical load (DFG, 2012). An increase in the inhaled CO<sub>2</sub> concentration can result in increased respiratory rate and brain

blood flow, headache, dizziness, confusion, dyspnea, sweating, dim vision, vomiting, disorientation, hypertension, and loss of consciousness (Rise, 2003).

## **Effects of low-level exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> in humans**

### ***Building-related symptoms***

According to a review by Seppänen et al (1999), around half of the 21 studies on CO<sub>2</sub> suggested that the risk of sick building syndrome (SBS) symptoms continued to reduce significantly with decreasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations below 800 ppm. Apte et al (2000) observed significant associations between mucous membrane and lower respiratory SBS symptoms with increasing indoor minus average outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> (dCO<sub>2</sub>) and maximum indoor 1 h moving average CO<sub>2</sub> minus outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (dCO<sub>2</sub>MAX) when workday average CO<sub>2</sub> levels were always below 800 ppm. Norbäck et al (2008) further reported that a 100 ppm increase in indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (range, 674–1,450 ppm) was significantly associated with headache. Schoolchildren exposed to indoor CO<sub>2</sub> levels greater than 1,000 ppm also showed significantly higher risk for dry cough and rhinitis (Simoni et al, 2010). Office workers exposed to indoor CO<sub>2</sub> levels greater than 800 ppm also reported a significant increase in eye irritation and upper respiratory symptoms (Tsai et al, 2012). A 200 ppm increase in indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (range, 1,000–2,000 ppm) in day care centers was significantly associated with reported wheezing (Carreiro-Martins et al, 2014). In earlier reports, we suggested that non-conformation to a CO<sub>2</sub> standard of 1,000 ppm in buildings was significantly associated with SBS symptoms in office workers (Azuma et al, 2014) and that a 100 ppm increase in CO<sub>2</sub> was correlated with SBS symptoms (Azuma et al, 2016b).

### ***Effects of autonomic function or psychomotor performance***

Historically, CO<sub>2</sub> exposures below 5,000 ppm were not anticipated to affect blood CO<sub>2</sub> levels, but several recent studies have reported linear increases of pCO<sub>2</sub> in the blood as exposure to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> was increased from 500 to 4,000 ppm through changes in ventilation rate. These studies also reported other physiological responses, which were consistent with increased sympathetic stimulation including changes to heart rate variability, elevated blood pressure, and increases to peripheral blood circulation at CO<sub>2</sub> exposures in the range of 500 to 5,000 ppm (Kaitar 2012, MacNaughton et al, 2016; Vehviläinen et al, 2016). Autonomic dysfunction has a wide array of health impacts on cognitive, urinary, sexual, and digestive systems. Activation of the autonomic system through stress reduces strategic ability and working memory (Starcke et al, 2012), which supports finding by recent studies showing a decrease in decision making performance between 550 and 2,500 ppm of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Twenty-two participants were exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at 600, 1,000, and 2,500 ppm (three 2.5 h sessions, one day) in an office-like chamber. Statistically significant decrements occurred in psychomotor performance (decision making, problem resolution) starting at 1,000 ppm (Satish et al 2012). Twenty-four participants spent six full work days during two weeks in an environmentally controlled office space, blinded to different test conditions: concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), outdoor air ventilation rate, and artificially elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were independent of ventilation. VOCs and CO<sub>2</sub> were independently associated with cognitive scores in the groups exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at 945 and 1,400 ppm compared with controls (Allen et al, 2016). In addition, the same research group reported additional results from the above experimental study, in which a 1,000 ppm increase in CO<sub>2</sub> was associated with an increase in heart rate and in the number of symptoms (respiratory, eyes and skin, headache, cognitive, and sensory) per participant per day (MacNaughton et al, 2016).

In another study, ten healthy participants were exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at 500 ppm and 5,000 ppm (artificially elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations) for 2.5 h in a low-emission stainless steel climate

chamber. End-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> (ETCO<sub>2</sub>) at 5,000 ppm was increased in comparison with that at 500 ppm. CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at 5,000 ppm had no effect on acute health symptoms (respiratory, eyes and skin, headache, and sensory) and performance in cognitive tests (Zhang et al, 2016). Twenty-five participants were exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at 500, 1,000 and 3,000 ppm (artificially elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, outdoor air supply rate was high enough to remove bioeffluents) for 255 min in a low-emission stainless steel climate chamber. In two further conditions, the outdoor air supply rate was reduced to reach CO<sub>2</sub> levels at 1,000 and 3,000 ppm by allowing metabolically generated CO<sub>2</sub> (in addition, bioeffluents also increased). Exposures to CO<sub>2</sub> at 3,000 ppm, including bioeffluents, significantly increased the intensity of reported headache, fatigue, and sleepiness. Cognitive performance was significantly reduced in exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> at 1,000 ppm including bioeffluents (Zhang et al, 2017a). Exposures to CO<sub>2</sub> at 3,000 ppm, including bioeffluents, significantly increased diastolic blood pressure and reduced nasal peak flow. Salivary  $\alpha$ -amylase activity significantly increased during exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> at 1,000 ppm including bioeffluents. ETCO<sub>2</sub> and heart rate significantly increased during exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> under all conditions (Zhang et al, 2017b).

**Table 1 Summary of the effects of exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> in indoor air and relevant exposure guidelines.**

CO <sub>2</sub> concentration	Physiological effect	Psychomotor performance	Health symptoms	Guideline or standard
Above 500 ppm	pCO <sub>2</sub> , heart rate, heart rate variability, blood pressure, peripheral blood circulation		SBS symptoms above 700 ppm	
Above 1,000 ppm		Cognitive performance (decision making, problem resolution)	Respiratory symptoms in school children	Recommended IAQ guideline for residential spaces
Above 5,000 ppm				Occupational limit (TWA)
Above 10,000 ppm	Respiratory rate, respiratory acidosis, metabolic stress, brain blood flow, minute ventilation			
Above 50,000 ppm	Dizziness, headache, confusion, dyspnea			
Above 100,000 ppm	Unbearable dyspnea, followed by vomiting, disorientation, hypertension, and loss of consciousness			Occupational limit (STEL)

Abbreviations: SBS, sick building syndrome; IAQ, indoor air quality; TWA, time-weighted average; STEL, short-term exposure limit. Occupational limit: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

## DISCUSSION

It has been considered that exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> below a concentration of 5,000 ppm was not anticipated to affect blood CO<sub>2</sub> levels. However, several recent studies have reported linear increase of pCO<sub>2</sub> in the blood, elevated blood pressure, increased heart rate, and increased sympathetic stimulation at CO<sub>2</sub> exposures in the range of 500 to 5,000 ppm. Regarding the

intrinsic effects of CO<sub>2</sub> on autonomic function, several recent experimental studies on humans suggest that CO<sub>2</sub> may affect psychomotor performance (decision making, problem resolution) starting at a concentration of 1,000 ppm. Even though these effects are sub-clinical, the reduction of performance related to productivity of labor or learning has a profound effect on social economy or the community. Although bioeffluents emitted from humans might be associated with these effects during short-term exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> at 1,000 ppm, the quantitative and physiological evidence relating to this is not sufficient. Further research on the effects (especially long-term effects on the autonomic system under increased pCO<sub>2</sub>) of low-level CO<sub>2</sub> exposure is needed.

The effects of low-level exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> on SBS symptoms may be influenced by other mixed hazardous chemicals. However, many epidemiological studies have demonstrated the relationship between low-level exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> and SBS symptoms. In addition, mucosal symptoms have been reported at a CO<sub>2</sub> exposure of 1,000 ppm during two weeks in an environmentally controlled office space. Maintaining CO<sub>2</sub> concentration below 1,000 ppm would, therefore, be effective in reducing the total health risk due to multiple low-level indoor pollutants in a building. Adverse effects on psychomotor performance could also be prevented.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Recent studies have shown clear linear physiological changes in circulatory, cardiovascular, and autonomic systems, including increased pCO<sub>2</sub> in the blood, elevated blood pressure, increased heart rate, and increased sympathetic stimulation at CO<sub>2</sub> exposures in the range of 500 to 5,000 ppm. Recent short-term exposure studies have suggested that CO<sub>2</sub> might affect psychomotor performance such as decision making or problem resolution beginning at 1,000 ppm, and many epidemiological studies have demonstrated a relationship between low-level exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> and SBS symptoms. While other substances such as bioeffluents, or mixed hazardous chemicals, might also be associated with such effects, maintaining CO<sub>2</sub> concentration below 1,000 ppm would be effective in preventing effects on human health and psychomotor performance. Further research relating to the long-term effects of low-level CO<sub>2</sub> exposure from 500 to 3,000 ppm on the autonomic system is needed.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This study was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Health and Labour Sciences Research Grant (H26-health/crisis-007) provided by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.

## **REFERENCES**

- Allen JG, MacNaughton P, Satish U, Santanam S, Vallarino J, Spengler JD. 2016. Associations of Cognitive Function Scores with Carbon Dioxide, Ventilation, and Volatile Organic Compound Exposures in Office Workers: A Controlled Exposure Study of Green and Conventional Office Environments. *Environ Health Perspect* 124:805–812.
- Apte MG, Fisk WJ, Daisey JM. 2000. Associations between indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and sick building syndrome symptoms in U.S. office buildings: an analysis of the 1994-1996 BASE study data. *Indoor Air* 10:246–257.
- Arthurs GJ, Sudhakar M. 2005. Carbon dioxide transport. *Contin Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain* 5:207–210.
- Azuma K, Ikeda K, Kagi N et al. 2014. Prevalence of and risk factors for nonspecific building-related symptoms in employees working in office buildings: relationships among indoor air quality, work environment, and occupational stress in summer and winter. *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Indoor Air Quality and Climate, Hong Kong, HP0073*, 7 pages.
- Azuma K. 2016a A study on office environment and health effects in building, a study on administrative surveillance for hygienic environment of building. MHLW grants system of 2015,

- Health, safety, and crisis management multidisciplinary research program, 2015 research report, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2016. (in Japanese)
- Azuma K, Ikeda K, Kagi N et al. 2016b. Physicochemical risk factors for building-related symptoms: thermal conditions and combined exposure to indoor air pollutants. *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Indoor Air Quality and Climate*, Ghent, ID113, 7 pages.
- Carreiro-Martins P, Viegas J, Papoila AL, Aelenei D, Caires I, Araújo-Martins J, Gaspar-Marques J, Cano MM, Mendes AS, Virella D, Rosado-Pinto J, Leiria-Pinto P, Annesi-Maesano I, Neuparth N. 2014. CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in day care centres is related to wheezing in attending children. *Eur J Pediatr* 173:1041–1049.
- DFG. 2012. Kohlendioxid [MAK Value Documentation, 2002], Documentations and Methods. MAK Collection for Occupational Health and Safety, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH.
- Eliseeva OV. 1964. Data to substantiate the maximum permissible concentration of carbon dioxide in the air of apartments and public buildings. *Gig Sanit*:10–15.
- Goromosov MS. 1968. The physiological basis of health standards for dwellings. *Public Health Papers No. 33*, World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Guais A, Brand G, Jacquot L, Karrer M, Dukan S, Grévillet G, Molina TJ, Bonte J, Regnier M, Schwartz L. 2011. Toxicity of carbon dioxide: a review. *Chem Res Toxicol* 24:2061–2070.
- Kajtár L, Herczeg L. 2012. Influence of carbon-dioxide concentration on human well-being and intensity of mental work. *IDŐJÁRÁS* 116:145–169.
- MacNaughton P, Spengler J, Vallarino J, Santanam S, Satish U, Allen J. 2016. Environmental Perceptions and Health before and after Relocation to a Green Building. *Build Environ* 104:138–144.
- Norbäck D, Nordström K. 2008. Sick building syndrome in relation to air exchange rate, CO<sub>2</sub>, room temperature and relative air humidity in university computer classrooms: an experimental study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 82:21–30.
- Rice SA. 2003. Health effects of acute and prolonged CO<sub>2</sub> exposure in normal and sensitive populations. Second annual conference on carbon sequestration, Alexandria, VA.
- Satish U, Mendell MJ, Shekhar K, Hotchi T, Sullivan D, Streufert S, Fisk WJ. 2012. Is CO<sub>2</sub> an indoor pollutant? Direct effects of low-to-moderate CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on human decision-making performance. *Environ Health Perspect* 120:1671–1677.
- Seppänen OA, Fisk WJ, Mendell MJ. 1999. Association of ventilation rates and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations with health and other responses in commercial and institutional buildings. *Indoor Air* 9:226–252.
- Simoni M, Annesi-Maesano I, Sigsgaard T, Norback D, Wieslander G, Nystad W, Canciani M, Sestini P, Viegi G. 2010. School air quality related to dry cough, rhinitis and nasal patency in children. *Eur Respir J* 35:742–749.
- Starcke K, Brand M. 2012. Decision making under stress: a selective review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 36:1228–1248.
- Tsai DH, Lin JS, Chan CC. 2012. Office workers' sick building syndrome and indoor carbon dioxide concentrations. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 9:345–351.
- Vehviläinen T, Lindholm H, Rintamäki H, Pääkkönen R, Hirvonen A, Niemi O, Vinha J. 2016. High indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in an office environment increases the transcutaneous CO<sub>2</sub> level and sleepiness during cognitive work. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 13:19–29.
- Zhang X, Wargocki P, Lian Z. 2016. Human responses to carbon dioxide, a follow-up study at recommended exposure limits in non-industrial environments. *Build Environ* 100:162–171.
- Zhang X, Wargocki P, Lian Z, Thyregod C. 2017a. Effects of exposure to carbon dioxide and bioeffluents on perceived air quality, self-assessed acute health symptoms, and cognitive performance. *Indoor Air* 27:47–64.
- Zhang X, Wargocki P, Lian Z. 2017b. Physiological responses during exposure to carbon dioxide and bioeffluents at levels typically occurring indoors. *Indoor Air* 27:65–77.